Building AI Agents That Last

AI agents are no longer the domain of engineers alone. With low-code and no-code tools, anyone in the organization can spin up an agent to automate a task, answer questions, or execute a process. This democratization is powerful, but it comes with a hidden risk.

When every team member can create agents, sustainability becomes the real challenge.

Without consistent ownership, updates, and alignment, organizations risk a sprawl of outdated, misaligned, and even harmful agents. Every engineer knows that bad data in = bad data out.

The long-term success of AI in the enterprise depends less on how many agents you can create, and more on how you keep them sustained.

From Code to Knowledge: A New Kind of Maintenance

Software engineering has long recognized the importance of sustainable practices:

- **Version control** ensures code changes are tracked.
- **Ownership models** define who maintains and updates systems.
- **Testing and CI/CD** catch regressions before they spread.

AI agents require the same discipline. But instead of code, they operate on business knowledge, processes, and data. Treating that knowledge like code means adopting similar principles:

- **Updates**: Business knowledge changes, processes shift, policies evolve, data sources update. Agents must evolve alongside them.
- **Ownership**: Each agent should have a clear owner responsible for accuracy, updates, and retirement if needed.
- **Governance**: Just as organizations enforce coding standards, they need frameworks for agent design, usage, and lifecycle.

Without this, agents quickly drift out of sync with reality, eroding trust and creating more work instead of less.

The Risks of Unsustainable Agents

When sustainability is ignored, organizations face compounding risks:

- **Misinformation**: Outdated agents propagate wrong answers and misaligned processes.
- **Duplication**: Teams unknowingly build multiple agents for the same task, fragmenting knowledge.
- **Shadow AI**: A proliferation of untracked agents creates blind spots for leadership.
- **Erosion of Trust**: Once users stop trusting AI agents, adoption plummets and ROI disappears.

Just as bad code slows down software delivery, not sustaining agents slow down organizational learning.

Principles for Sustainable AI Agents

To make AI agents sustainable, organizations can borrow proven practices from engineering and data management:

1. **Agent Ownership**
    - Every agent must have a clear "owner." This person or team ensures updates, accuracy, and accountability.
2. **Knowledge as Code**
    - Treat prompts, processes, and data like source code: version-controlled, documented, and reviewed.
3. **Lifecycle Management**
    - Establish clear processes for updating, retiring, or merging agents. No agent should live forever without check-ins.
4. **Alignment Reviews**
    - Regularly validate agents against organizational goals and compliance requirements. Align them with evolving strategy, not just past tasks.
5. **Monitoring & Feedback Loops**
    - Just as engineers monitor production systems, AI agents need feedback loops to catch drift and issues early.

Conclusion

The era of democratized AI creation is here and creation is the easy part. The real differentiator will be sustainability.

Organizations that apply the same rigor to AI agents as engineers do to code, through ownership, governance, and continuous improvement, will unlock lasting value. Those that don't will find themselves managing a sprawl of outdated, untrusted agents that undermine adoption.

In AI, sustainability isn't optional. It's the foundation of trust and value.

FORM 100 CONSULTING
DEPENDABLE. DEDICATED. DISCIPLINED

At **Form100 Consulting**, we work with product and technology leaders to map value, align teams, and implement automation that truly maximizes outcomes. If you're considering automation initiatives, let's have a conversation to make sure you're heading in the right direction.